

Field Actions Science Reports

Special Issue 2 (2010) Migration and Health

Chelsea Eastman, Diane C. Mitchell, Deborah H. Bennett, Daniel J. Tancredi, Frank M. Mitloehner and Marc B. Schenker

Respiratory Symptoms of California's Dairy Workers Chelsea Eastman

Warning

The contents of this site is subject to the French law on intellectual property and is the exclusive property of the publisher.

The works on this site can be accessed and reproduced on paper or digital media, provided that they are strictly used for personal, scientific or educational purposes excluding any commercial exploitation. Reproduction must necessarily mention the editor, the journal name, the author and the document reference.

Any other reproduction is strictly forbidden without permission of the publisher, except in cases provided by legislation in force in France.



Revues.org is a platform for journals in the humanites and social sciences run by the CLEO, Centre for open electronic publishing (CNRS, EHESS, UP, UAPV).

Electronic reference

Chelsea Eastman, Diane C. Mitchell, Deborah H. Bennett, Daniel J. Tancredi, Frank M. Mitloehner and Marc B. Schenker, « Respiratory Symptoms of California's Dairy Workers Chelsea Eastman », Field Actions Science Reports [Online], Special Issue 2 | 2010, Online since 01 October 2010, Connection on 15 October 2012. URL: http://factsreports.revues.org/492

Publisher: Institut Veolia Environnement http://factsreports.revues.org http://www.revues.org

Document available online on: http://factsreports.revues.org/492 This document is a facsimile of the print edition.

Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License



Respiratory Symptoms of California's Dairy Workers

C. Eastman¹, D. C. Mitchell¹, D. H. Bennett¹, D. J. Tancredi², F. M. Mitloehner³ and M. B. Schenker¹

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California, Davis ²Department of Pediatrics, University of California, Davis Health System ³Department of Animal Science, University of California, Davis

Abstract. While research has documented the adverse impact of agricultural work on the respiratory health of farmers, few studies have reported on the respiratory health of dairy workers. Additionally, we are not aware of any published studies addressing the health impacts associated with large dairies in the western United States. The present investigation is a cross-sectional survey carried out at 13 dairies throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Data were collected from 232 dairy workers and 52 employees of a control facility (a vegetable processing plant) during a three-month period in 2008. Survey data collected included: socioeconomic status, respiratory health history, respiratory exposure history, work history, and current health symptoms. The dairy and control populations were almost all immigrant Latino and were similar in demographics, with two primary exceptions: dairy workers had higher incomes and had lived in the United States longer than the control employees. A substantial proportion of workers had never sought medical attention in the United States. Dairy work in California was associated with a significantly increased prevalence of asthmatic symptoms but not with significantly increased chronic cough, phlegm, or wheezing.

Keywords. Agriculture, dairying, California, respiratory health, asthma.

1 Introduction

Dairy work has been associated with respiratory health problems and decreased lung function (Heller et al. 1986; Malmberg 1990; Dalphin et al. 1998; Chaudemanche et al. 2003; Venier et al. 2006; Gainet et al. 2007; Kawada and Suzuki 2008). Exposure to complex mixtures of contaminants is believed to be associated with these harmful effects. Cows and/or their waste emit gases such as hydrogen sulfide, methane, and ammonia, in addition to smog-forming volatile organic compounds (Senate Office of Research 2004). Anaerobic bacteria aid in the fermentation and decomposition of organic material (manure, feed, etc.) associated with pollutants. These pollutants, in turn, are associated with inflammation, immune, irritant, and neurotoxic problems in humans (Schenker 1998). Farm work, including dairy work, has been related to acute effects of particulate matter and gas exposures in European animal confinement buildings (Iversen et al. 1994; Radon et al. 2001). Airway inflammation, a non-atopic reaction resulting in asthma-like symptoms, is the most commonly found detrimental respiratory condition in these facilities (Iversen et al. 1994). This airway inflammation is thought to be the result of exposure to particulate matter, specifically endotoxins (cell wall fragments of gram-negative bacteria).

Sufficient exposure to organic particulate matter may result in dose-dependent organic dust toxic syndrome consisting of acute systemic and lung function impairments; symptoms include fever, influenza-like conditions, dry cough, and chest tightness. Endotoxins found in the particulate matter are thought to be the primary factor producing this reaction. Other sources of organic particles that may contribute to adverse respiratory effects include animal dander, feces, minor components of animal feed, and glucans (Schenker 1998). Long-term exposure to endotoxins is associated with chronic bronchitis and reduced lung function (Omland 2002). Although these studies have demonstrated the association between dairy work and decrements in respiratory health, none has looked specifically at dairy work in California. California dairies are unique. Although the facilities are open-air, the average herd size is substantially larger than in the midwestern United States or Europe. With this unknown combination, it is worthwhile to investigate the respiratory health effects among workers on large California dairies.

2 Study Design and Methods

2.1 Eligibility Criteria

The present study is a cross-sectional survey that was carried out in 13 dairies throughout the San Joaquin Valley from June to September 2008. A facility number was assigned to all dairies within the San Joaquin Valley that house over 1,000 milking cows (N = 480). The facilities visited were randomly selected. Within each facility all of the workers were recruited. To be included in the study, dairy workers had to be between the ages of 18 and 65; able to work a fully monitored, six-hour shift;

work around cows; able to perform spirometry; and male. The control facility (a vegetable processing plant) was selected based on a similar worker population with a night shift; all eligible employees were recruited. The eligibility criteria for control facility employees were identical to those of the dairy workers, with two exceptions: they were not exposed to cows or other respiratory hazards such as cleaning chemicals. Only one dairy facility refused to participate in the study; the high participation rate among facilities may be attributed to the study's principal investigator, who had worked closely with dairies prior to this project. Approximately 90% of eligible dairy and control employees agreed to participate in the study.

2.2 Study Overview

The project protocol was approved by the University of California, Davis Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before beginning the study. During the three-month study period, data were collected from 232 dairy workers on 13 dairies (the number of workers per dairy varied with the actual size of the operation) and 52 employees of a vegetable processing plant. After data entry and cleaning, 226 dairy workers and 49 control employees were included in analyses. Survey data included socioeconomic status, respiratory health history, respiratory exposure history, work history, and current health symptoms.

Prior to beginning the work shift, participants completed a lung function test (spirometry) and a brief questionnaire to determine demographic information, current health symptoms, asthma and atopy, long-term respiratory conditions (chronic cough, phlegm, persistent wheeze, etc.), and exposures external to dairies. At the end of the work shift, each worker completed a second spirometry test and a post-shift questionnaire. The post-shift interview included a time-activity log for the work shift, current health symptoms, smoking/tobacco use, alcohol use, exposures at work, personal protective measures, work activities and history, and a self-assessment of health. Each subject participated in the study for one day; that is, each participant had one pre- and one post-shift interview.

2.3 Questionnaires

The questionnaires were based on validated instruments from the European Community Respiratory Health Study (ECRHS) survey (European Community Respiratory Health Study 2000), the American Thoracic Society-Division of Lung Disease (ATS-DLD) questionnaire (Ferris 1978), the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) survey (Asher *et al.* 1995), and the Tuomi work ability index (Tuomi *et al.* 1997). All of the forms were administered by trained interviewers and available in both English and Spanish. In addition, all of the questionnaires were reviewed to identify errors, outliers, and missing information by the study coordinator, the field staff, and/or the graduate student prior to data entry. Field staff was consulted to fix errors and fill in missing information when possible.

2.4 Respiratory Outcomes

The primary respiratory health outcomes (chronic bronchitis, chronic cough, persistent wheeze, asthma, hay fever, eczema, and rhinitis) were determined using the questionnaire responses. In keeping with conventional definitions (Schenker et al. 2005), chronic bronchitis was defined as producing phlegm on most days of the week for three or more months for two or more years. Chronic cough was defined as having a cough on most days or nights for three or more months. Persistent wheeze was defined as the chest sounding wheezy or whistling on most days or nights or the chest sounding wheezy or whistling with colds and apart from colds. Unfortunately there are no standardized definitions of asthma or the other respiratory outcomes. In this study, asthma was defined as doctor- or health professionaldiagnosed asthma or exercise- or allergen-induced cough, wheeze, shortness of breath, or chest tightness. Hay fever was determined by a question asking the participants if they have ever had hay fever. Similarly, eczema and rhinitis were defined by single questions relying on participants self-reporting the conditions.

2.5 Statistical Analyses

Descriptive analyses were performed for all demographic variables and potential covariates to characterize the dairy workers' respiratory health. Logistic regression was performed to assess associations of independent variables with key outcomes, including chronic conditions (asthma, atopy, chronic bronchitis, chronic cough, persistent wheeze), as well as to model the presence of respiratory symptoms during the work shift. Backward selection was used to build the regression model, with the significance level for independent variables to stay in the model set to 0.10. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis System Software Version 9.2.

The primary predictor of interest was whether the participant worked on a dairy or at the control facility. In regression analyses, smoking was considered in the form of two variables: pack-years smoking and current smoking status (current, former, or never smoker). Other candidate independent variables included: age, education level, number of days worked since last day off, and years spent in the United States.

3 Results

Both dairy and control populations were relatively young. Almost all participants were Latino. Only a small proportion of the sample was born in the United States; the vast majority emigrated from Mexico. Dairy participants had spent significantly more time in the United States. Although dairy workers had less formal education than the control employees, they earned more bi-weekly. More dairy workers were current smokers compared to control employees, but the difference was not significant. Similarly, pack-years of smoking was not significantly different between the two populations (table 1).

Table 1. Demographics

	Dairy W (N=	orkers 226)	Control Workers (N = 49)		
	Mean (SD)	Median	Mean (SD)	Median	
Age (years)	33.3 (11.1)	31.0	34.8 (12.1)	32.0	
Years in U.S.*	12.1 (9.0)	10.0	8.9 (7.9)	5.5	
Pack-years	7.2 (14.4)	2.3	6.2 (10.9)	1.6	
	n	%	n	%	
Two-week income‡					
\$0-750	9	4.2	20	40.8	
\$751-1,000	96	44.2	21	42.9	
\$1,001-1,500	100	46.1	4	8.2	
\$1,500+	12	5.5	4	8.2	
Yearly family income;					
\$0-10,000	14	6.6	10	22.2	
\$10,001-20,000	43	20.3	19	42.2	
\$20,001-30,000	124	58.5	9	20.0	
\$30,001-50,000	29	13.7	6	13.3	
\$50,001+	2	0.9	1	2.2	
Education					
None	11	4.9	0	0	
Primary (grades 1-6)	115	50.9	20	40.8	
Junior high (grades 7-9)	66	29.2	16	32.7	
High school (grades 10-12	2) 30	13.3	10	20.4	
Some college (grade > 12)	4	1.8	3	6.1	
Ethnicity					
Latino	206	94.1	44	97.8	
Non-Latino	13	5.9	1	2.2	
Country of origin					
United States	7	3.1	3	6.1	
Mexico	201	88.9	46	93.9	
Other Central/ South America	12	5.3	0	0	
Portugal	6	2.7	0	0	
Smoking status					
Current smokers	62	27.4	7	14.3	
Former smokers	44	19.5	10	20.4	
Never smokers	120	53.1	32	65.3	

p < 0.05

Dairy workers were significantly more likely to seek medical help at a clinic compared to controls. The majority of workers (both dairy and control) sought medical attention at either a clinic or with a doctor, nurse, or physician's assistant. However, there was a substantial proportion of workers who had never sought medical attention in the United States (table 2). It is interesting to note that none of the participants (dairy or control) had sought help from community health workers (*promotores*) or healers (*curanderos*).

Table 2. Health Services Accessed in the United States Ever

		Vorkers 226)	Control Workers (N = 49)	
	N	%	N	%
Community/free clinic	6	2.8	3	6.1
Clinic*	103	47.5	14	28.6
Doctor/nurse/physician's assistant	39	18.0	14	28.6
Hospital emergency room	23	10.6	4	8.3
Pharmacy	5	2.3	1	2.4
Never sought medical help	43	19.7	13	26.5

^{*}p < 0.05

When asked about their perceived physical ability versus the demands of their job(s), there was no significant difference between dairy and control employees. However, dairy workers who were current smokers were significantly more likely to report a higher level of physical ability, specifically "good" or "very good," compared to control employees; among former and never smokers, the difference was not significant (results not shown). Similarly, there was no difference between the two groups when asked to assess their current health status. However, control workers who were former or never smokers were significantly more likely to respond "fair" when asked to assess their current health status. Interestingly, the difference was not significant among current smokers (results not shown). When asked to compare their health to others their age, both dairy and control workers responded "good" most frequently; the difference was not significant. Participants were asked to report their work ability on a scale of one to ten and the majority of participants responded between eight and ten (table 3).

 Table 3. Physical and Health Self-Assessment

	Dairy W		Control Workers (N = 49)		
	N	%	N	%	
Physical ability versus work de	mands				
Very good	78	34.5	9	18.4	
Good	132	58.4	31	63.6	
Moderate	14	6.2	9	18.4	
Poor	1	0.4	0	0	
Health self-assessment					
Excellent	63	27.9	14	28.6	
Good	115	50.9	19	38.8	
Fair	48	21.2	16	32.7	
Health compared to same age g	roup				
Excellent	60	26.5	19	38.8	
Good	131	58.0	22	44.9	
Fair	35	15.5	8	16.3	
Current work ability (0-10 point	t scale)				
1	1	0.4	0	0	
5-6	6	2.7	0	0	
7-8	71	31.4	17	34.7	
9-10	148	65.5	32	65.3	

www.factsreports.org 3

[‡]p < 0.001

Table 4. Baseline Respiratory Conditions

	Current/Former Smokers				Never Smokers			
	Dairy Workers (N = 106)		Control Workers (N = 17)		Dairy Workers (N = 120)		Control Workers (N = 32)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Chronic bronchitis	2	1.9	0	0	5	4.2	0	0
Chronic cough	2	1.9	0	0	3	2.5	1	3.1
Persistent wheeze	2	1.9	0	0	2	1.7	1	3.1
Asthma*	43	40.6	2	11.8	44	36.7	7	21.9
Hay fever	13	12.3	1	5.9	15	12.5	5	16.1
Eczema	13	12.3	1	5.9	8	6.7	4	12.5
Rhinitis	24	22.9	1	5.9	16	13.3	6	18.9

^{*}p < 0.05

Table 5. Acute Respiratory Symptoms

	Current Smokers				Former/Never Smokers			
	Dairy Workers (N = 62)		Control Workers (N = 7)		Dairy Workers (N = 164)		Control Workers (N = 42)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Eye irritation*	2	3.2	2	28.6	9	5.5	4	9.5
Blurred vision	2	3.2	0	0	5	3.1	1	2.4
Nasal irritation	2	3.2	1	14.3	21	12.8	1	2.4
Throat irritation	4	6.5	1	14.3	22	13.4	2	4.8
Cough	6	9.7	1	14.3	23	14.0	7	16.7
Phlegm	5	8.1	0	0	25	15.2	2	4.8
Tingling fingers	0	0	0	0	3	1.8	2	4.8
Rash	0	0	0	0	3	1.8	0	0
Wheeze	0	0	0	0	5	3.1	0	0
Chest tightness	3	4.8	0	0	8	4.9	1	2.4

p < 0.05

There was a low prevalence of chronic respiratory conditions such as chronic bronchitis, chronic cough, and persistent wheeze. Among current and former smokers, dairy workers were significantly more likely to have asthma compared to control workers. The trend was similar among participants who had never smoked, although the difference did not achieve statistical significance. Prevalence of hay fever, eczema, and rhinitis was higher than chronic conditions, but the differences between dairy and control workers were not significant (table 4).

Dairy and control workers were asked about symptoms experienced during their work shift. Nasal irritation, throat irritation, and cough were the most frequently reported symptoms among both dairy workers and control employees. Control workers who were current smokers were significantly more likely to report eye irritation than dairy employees; among former and never smokers, the difference was not significant (table 5).

Dairy work was significant when eye irritation during the work shift and asthma were the primary outcomes in separate logistic regression models; dairy work was not significant in modeling other acute outcomes (e.g., cough, nasal irritation,

etc.). After adjusting for smoking status, dairy work had an odds ratio of 0.33 associated with eye irritation. Age, pack-years smoking, days back at work, education level, and years spent living in the United States were not significant for eye irritation or asthma. Similarly, smoking was not significant in the model for asthma. Dairy workers had an odds ratio of 2.73 associated with asthma compared to control employees (table 6).

Table 6. Dairy Work

	OR	95% CI
Eye irritation*	0.33	0.11-0.96
Asthma*	2.73	1.26-5.90

p < 0.05; eye irritation OR has been adjusted for smoking status

4 Discussion

We found dairy workers self-reported better health compared to control workers after controlling for smoking status. It is possible that the more physical, rigorous demands of dairy work compared to a vegetable processing plant might select the healthier workers (or result in participants feeling healthier than individuals performing less physically demanding tasks). Less educated individuals tend to self-report worse health status compared to higher educated groups, but subjects with higher incomes tend to have better self-assessed health (Markides and Martin 1979; Ailinger 1989; Markides and Lee 1991; Hirdes and Forbes 1993; Shetterly et al. 1996). Although of borderline significance, dairy workers had less formal education compared to control employees (55.8% of dairy workers had attended primary school or less, compared to 40.8% of controls). However, dairy workers had significantly higher incomes than control employees. This combination indicates that a higher income might influence self-reported health more than education status among the study subjects.

Given that the study population is young (average age in the early 30s), it is not surprising that the prevalence of chronic conditions is low. It is interesting, however, that asthma and asthmatic symptoms are significantly more common (roughly twice as frequent) among dairy workers than among control employees. This could be an indication of airway inflammation, which is a common effect of working in confined animal operations (Iversen *et al.* 1994). The results indicate that the control facility is associated with eye irritation; this could be due to the control employees processing garlic during the study period. However, with the small sample of control workers displaying eye irritation (N = 6, or 12% of control employees), it is difficult to draw conclusions based on these results.

The study participants were relatively young and, for the most part, light or infrequent smokers. Therefore, it is not entirely surprising that smoking, either pack-years or current status, was rarely significant in modeling respiratory outcomes. Among current and former smokers, dairy workers' median pack-years measured 2.33, with controls' median pack-years measuring 1.60. Fully half of current smokers in the control facility smoked only one cigarette per day, and half of current smokers in the dairies smoke three or fewer cigarettes per day. Previous studies of light smokers and/or young adults generally show a dose-response association between respiratory outcomes and smoking (Rosengren et al. 1992; Kawachi et al. 1994; Prescott et al. 2002; Bjartveit and Tverdal 2005; Amigo et al. 2006; Vianna et al. 2008). However, the strictest study criteria set the cutoff for current smokers at four cigarettes per day (Rosengren et al. 1992; Kawachi et al. 1994; Bjartveit and Tverdal 2005). None mentions pack-years and most have older populations (Rosengren et al. 1992; Kawachi et al. 1994; Prescott et al. 2002; Bjartveit and Tverdal 2005) than the current participants. Analyses of our data setting the cutoff between light and heavy smokers at the median level of cigarettes per day did not result in any significant findings (results not shown). This could be due to a small sample size: only seven participants had chronic bronchitis, and only six had persistent wheeze regardless of smoking status. Considering less chronic conditions such as acute symptoms or phlegm production for three months (nonchronic bronchitis) also resulted in non-significant findings in regard to smoking status (results not shown). Small sample size could be a factor, or it may be that three cigarettes per

day for a short duration (< 2.5 pack-years) is not enough exposure to result in adverse chronic respiratory symptoms in this young population.

5 Conclusion

5.1 Findings

This is the first study to look specifically at the respiratory health of dairy workers in California. The worker demographics between the dairy and control facility were similar, with the majority of participants being Latino males from Mexico. Dairy work in California was found to be associated with an elevated prevalence of asthmatic symptoms but not with most chronic respiratory conditions. The low prevalence of chronic respiratory conditions is not surprising given the young age of the population.

5.2 Research to Practice

Our findings will be presented to the California dairy community, including dairy owners and workers. We will document if task-specific risk factors are associated with a decrement in lung function in the hopes of directing future research to ultimately design and target interventions to protect dairy worker respiratory health.

Acknowledgements

Support for this research was provided by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Grant #OH00770-07 and the University of California Toxic Substances Research and Teaching Program (TSR&TP) through the Atmospheric Aerosols and Health Lead Campus Program (aah.ucdavis.edu).

References:

Ailinger, R. L. (1989), "Self-assessed Health of Hispanic Elderly Persons," Journal of Community Health Nursing, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 113-118.

Amigo, H., M. G. Oyarzun, P. Bustos, and R. J. Rona (2006), "Respiratory Consequences of Light and Moderate Smoking in Young Adults in Chile," International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, Vol. 10, No. 7, pp. 744-749.

Asher, M. I., U. Keil, H. R. Anderson, R. Beasley, et al. (1995), "International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): Rationale and Methods," European Respiratory Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 483-491.

Bjartveit, K. and A. Tverdal (2005), "Health Consequences of Smoking 1-4 Cigarettes Per Day," Tobacco Control, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 315-320.

Chaudemanche, H., E. Monnet, V. Westeel, D. Pernet, et al. (2003), "Respiratory Status in Dairy Farmers in France; Cross Sectional and Longitudinal Analyses," Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 60, pp. 858-863.

Dalphin, J.-C., A. Dubiez, E. Monnet, D. Gora, et al. (1998), "Prevalence of Asthma and Respiratory Symptoms in Dairy Farmers in the French Province of the Doubs," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Vol. 158, No. 5, pp. 1493-1498.

www.factsreports.org 5

- European Community Respiratory Health Study (2000), "European Community Respiratory Health Study II Questionnaire."
- Ferris (1978), "ATS-DLD-78 Recommended Adult Questionnaire," American Review of Respiratory Disease, Vol. 118, pp. 1-120.
- Gainet, M., I. Thaon, V. Westeel, H. Chaudemanche, et al. (2007), "Twelve-year Longitudinal Study of Respiratory Status in Dairy Farmers," European Respiratory Journal, Vol. 30, pp. 97-103.
- Heller, R., D. Hayward, and M. Farebrother (1986), "Lung Function of Farmers in England and Wales," Thorax, Vol. 41, pp. 117-121.
- Hirdes, J., and W. Forbes (1993), "Factors Associated with the Maintenance of Good Self-rated Health," Journal of Aging and Health, Vol. 5, pp. 101-122.
- Iversen, M., O. Brink, and R. Dahl (1994), "Lung Function in a Fiveyear Follow-up Study of Farmers," Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 1, pp. 39-43.
- Kawachi, I., G. A. Colditz, M. J. Stampfer, W. C. Willett, et al. (1994), "Smoking Cessation and Time Course of Decreased Risks of Coronary Heart Disease in Middle-Aged Women," Archives of Internal Medicine, Vol. 154, pp. 169-175.
- Kawada, T. and S. Suzuki (2008), "Physical Symptoms and Psychological Health Status by the Type of Job," Work, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 397-403.
- Malmberg, P. (1990), "Health Effects of Organic Dust Exposure in Dairy Farmers," American Journal of Industrial Medicine, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 7-15.
- Markides, K. S. and D. J. Lee (1991), "Predictors of Health Status in Middle-aged and Older Mexican Americans," Journal of Gerontology, Vol. 46, No. 5, pp. S243-249.
- Markides, K. S., and H. W. Martin (1979), "Predicting Self-rated Health among the Aged," Research on Aging, Vol. 1, pp. 97-112.
- Omland, O. (2002), "Exposure and Respiratory Health in Farming in Temperate Zones—A Review of the Literature," Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 119-136.
- Prescott, E., H. Scharling, M. Osler, and P. Schnohr (2002), "Importance of Light Smoking and Inhalation Habits on Risk of Myocardial Infarction and All Cause Mortality. A 22 Year Follow Up of 12,149 Men and Women in the Copenhagen City Heart Study," Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, Vol. 56, pp. 702-706.
- Radon, K., B. Danuser, M. Iversen, R. Jorres, et al. (2001), "Respiratory Symptoms in European Animal Farmers," European Respiratory Journal, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 747-754.
- Rosengren, A., L. Wilhelmsen, and H. Wedel (1992), "Coronary Heart Disease, Cancer and Mortality in Male Middle-aged Light Smokers," Journal of Internal Medicine, Vol. 231, pp. 357-362.
- Schenker, M. (1998), "Respiratory Health Hazards in Agriculture," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Vol. 158, No. 5, Pt. 2, pp. S1-S76.
- Schenker, M. B., J. A. Farrar, D. C. Mitchell, R. S. Green, et al. (2005), "Agricultural Dust Exposure and Respiratory Symptoms among California Farm Operators," Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 47, pp. 1157-1166.
- Senate Office of Research (2004), Confined Animal Facilities in California, www.sor.govoffice3.com/index.asp?Type=NONE& SEC={D7D66E98-74E3-4D88-B07F-8C39CEF662C1}&DE=.
- Shetterly, S. M., J. Baxter, L. D. Mason, and R. F. Hamman (1996), "Self-rated Health among Hispanic vs Non-Hispanic White Adults: The San Luis Valley Health and Aging Study," American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 86, No. 12, pp. 1798-1801.
- Tuomi, K., J. Ilmarinen, A. Jahkola, L. Katajarinne, et al. (1997), "Indice de Capacidade para o Trabalho," Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment, and Health, Vol. 23, Suppl. 1, pp. 1-71.
- Venier, A., H. Chaudemanche, E. Monnet, I. Thaon, et al. (2006),

- "Influence of Occupational Factors on Lung Function in French Dairy Farmers. A 5-year Longitudinal Study," American Journal of Industrial Medicine, Vol. 49, No. 4, pp. 231-237.
- Vianna, E. O., M. R. P. Gutierrez, M. A. Barbieri, R. D. Caldeira, et al. (2008), "Respiratory Effects of Tobacco Smoking among Young Adults," Am J Med Sci, Vol. 336, No. 1, pp. 44-49.